



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,602 12/29/2003		2/29/2003	Seong-Hoon Lee	51876P543	1601
8791	7590	01/18/2006		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY	SOKOL	OFF TAYLOR &	SCHLIE, PAUL W		
		ULEVARD		ART UNIT	DARED MAKEE
SEVENTH	FLOOR		AKI UNII	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030				2186	

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/750,602	LEE ET AL.				
C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul W. Schlie	2186				
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORT WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by structived by the Office later than three months after the ment term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 2						
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	f Claims						
4a) 0 5)	m(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicate of the above claim(s) is/are without is/are without is/are allowed. m(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application P	apers						
10)⊠ The Appl Repl	specification is objected to by the Exam drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2003 icant may not request that any objection to acement drawing sheet(s) including the cor oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) accepted or b) objective drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Strection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of F	teferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa					
2) Notice of D 3) Information	oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) orange Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB orange)/Mail Date		Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/750,602 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "prefetch operation" within independent claim 1, and correspondingly "prefetched data" within some of it's dependant claims; which appears to used to refer to "a time-division de-multiplex data operation and/or correspondingly de-multiplex data", while typically accepted to mean "a speculative request for data in anticipation of it's potential requirement, or the data resulting from such a request". The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/750,602

Art Unit: 2186

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US App. 10/750,602).

As per claims 1-22, regardless of their above cited ambiguity, the disclosure appears to be limited to disclosing a semiconductor device comprising a multi-phase synchronous time-division data de-multiplexing circuit predominantly itself comprising that acknowledged as "prior art" in figure 3 of the same disclosure, and simply extended in an analogous fashion as taught by the same said prior art; therefore such a circuit is correspondingly considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention, for the benefit of enabling synchronous multi-phase de-multiplexing of correspondingly multiplexed data as may be desired.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul W. Schlie whose telephone number is 571-272-6765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 517-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,602 Page 4

Art Unit: 2186

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PIERRE BATAILLE PRIMARY EXAMINER